

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/737,341	12/15/2000	James C. Colson	157-956	9182
7	590 04/16/2003			
Russell D. Culbertson Shaffer & Culbertson, L. L. P. Bldg. One, Ste. 360			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T	
1250 Capital of Texas Hwy. S. Austin, TX 78746			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Austin, 174 70	3770		2171	1
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	V

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/737,341	COLSON ET AL.	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam-Linh T. Nguyen	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period f r Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) FROM	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replectified in the provision of the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lion.
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 l</u>	December 2000		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowations of accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma		s is
Disp sition of Claims	,		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04/27/2001</u> is/are: a) ☑	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	annici.		
<u> </u>	n priority under 25 U.S.C.	\$ 440(=) (d) == (D	
<ul><li>13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	i priority under 35 U.S.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
, , ,	a baya baan wasaiyad		
		Amaliantian Na	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		···	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li> <li>application from the International Bu</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	<b>C</b>	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ation).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro			
Attachm nt(s)	•		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	··

Application/Control Number: 09/737,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 2171

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zollinger et al (U.S. 6,321,236).
- ◆ As per claim 1, 7, 14,

Zollinger et al (U.S. 6,321,236) discloses a method for implicit prioritization of synchronizable data, including:

- "In response to a sync session request from a client device, reading a selected prioritization schema associated with a user initiating the sync session request"
  - A sync session request corresponds to element 96 in Fig. 6.
  - See Fig. 6. The "prioritization schema" corresponds to the "profile information".
- "Retrieving schema effecting data necessary in effecting the selected prioritization schema" corresponds to the step 100 in Fig. 6 where Zollinger teaches that the server will determine which database tables are applicable to the client (col. 12 line 32 – 34).
- "Producing a prioritized data set based on the selected prioritization schema" corresponds to step 110 in Fig. 6. In the case of there is an optional information

Application/Control Number: 09/737,341 Page 3

Art Unit: 2171

associated with the sync request which specifies the priority data, then when it goes to step execution, it will producing a prioritized data set.

Zollinger does not clearly teach about "prioritization schema". However, referring to col. 8 line 15 - 28, where the profile information specifies which table or version of table is authorized for update. In other words, this specifies the prioritized data in the client database; and the "prioritization schema" corresponds to the information that specified in the profile. The server will determine existing table, version and ascertain the engine type on the client computer. Therefore, the "prioritization schema" clearly is inherent. The sync session request can associate with some types of designs or lds in order for the system validate the client with the profile stored in the database (Fig. 6 element 98, col. 12 line 21 - 23, 60 - 65).

Nonetheless, to expedite prosecution, even if the limitation of the above were not inherent, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to specify such "prioritization schema" associated with the sync secession request because this provides fast access to the data that need to be updated.

- ♦ As per claim 2, 8, 15, Zollinger discloses:
  - "Enabling a user to choose the selected prioritization schema from the plurality of available prioritization schemas". See col. 8 line 15 28 of zollinger. There are a lot of option parameters in the profile information and it can be applied to any type of data store (Col. 4 line 5 14). Therefore the user can select prioritized schema for their profile.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,341

Art Unit: 2171

- ♦ As per claim 3, 16, Zollinger discloses:
  - "Enabling the user to choose an additional selected prioritization scheme". Again, the user can specify which table has prioritization (See col. 8 line 15 28).
     Therefore, the user can also choose additional scheme such as specifies which version of table have prioritization.
- ♦ As per claim 4, 9, 17, Zollinger discloses:

When a user specifies which table and which version of table in the profile that needs to update as listed in col. 8 line 15 – 28, it corresponds to "a particular prioritization formula" that the system needs to retrieve for synchronization process (See Fig. 6 element 100 – 104).

 $\bullet$  As per claim 5 – 6, 10 – 11, 18 – 20, Zollinger discloses:

Fig. 6 teaches about the determining the request characteristics. When the system validates the transaction with the profile at step 98, it must check for identification for the requesting user, the client device type (step 104). From these characteristics, the database will retrieve the co response table or parameters from the storage.

♦ As per claim 12 – 13, Zollinger discloses:

The data that specifies the structure table or prioritization schemes in the database is corresponding to the metadata. The table stored in the profile information is corresponding to "the objective data" to which the client device is to be synchronized.

♦ As per claim 21 – 22, Zollinger discloses:

Application/Control Number: 09/737,341

Art Unit: 2171

- "A prioritization scheme storage" corresponds to the "profile database" in Fig. 1 element 56.

 Fig. 1 is a system comprises a data processing device, and it clearly operating under the control or operational software

## Conclusion

- 1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
  - Keith Crozier (U.S. 5,701,423) discloses a method for mapping, translating, and dynamically reconciling data between disparate computer platforms.
  - David J. Boothby (U.S. 6,405,218) discloses a synchronizing databases system.
  - Masaki Abe (U.S. 6,345,308) discloses a network computer system and method for executing data synchronization process thereof.
  - Charles Wu (U.S. 6,442,570) discloses an object identification and data communication during an object synchronization process.
  - Larue et al (U.S. 6,401,104) discloses a system and methods for synchronizing datasets using cooperation among multiple synchronization engines.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cam-Linh T. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-1951. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Friday from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/737,341

Art Unit: 2171

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic, can be reached on (703) 308- 1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703- 746- 7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cam-Linh Nguyen Art Unit 2171

> SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100